

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

LAW OFFICES

AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER

1900 EAST NINTH STREET

CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114

TELEPHONE: 216-696-8730

FACSIMILE: 216-696-8731

EMAIL: DNAFZIGER@THEPATENTATTORNEYS.COM

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAY 16 2007****FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS LISTED BELOW VIA UNITED STATES MAIL. THANK YOU.

Date: May 16, 2007**TO:** Jude Jean Gilles -- United States Patent and Trademark Office**FAX NO.:** 571-273-8300**FROM:** David M. Nafziger

In re patent application of:

Applicants: Gary P. Raden, *et al.***Examiner:** Jude Jean Gilles**Serial No:** 10/721,726**Art Unit:** 2143**Filing Date:** November 25, 2003**Title:** SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR STATE MANAGEMENT OF
NETWORKED SYSTEMS

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS PAGE): 9

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

Dear Examiner Jude Jean Gilles:

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss this matter. The following agenda is provided to aid our discussion.

- I. Introduction
- II. Discussion of Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)
 - a. Discuss independent claim 1 and proposed amendments
 - i. The limitation of claim 2 has been incorporated in independent claim 2. Amended independent claim 1 recites a component that obtains system data corresponding to a plurality of system components, an aggregator that analyzes at least a subset of the system data and generates an output corresponding to a state of a subset of the plurality of system components, and *a remote access component that provides a user with remote access to the output.*
 - b. Discuss deficiencies of the cited reference
 - i. Ikeda *et al.* appears to relate to a system that determines the overall topology of a network. Based on the information collected from a transmission line, the cited reference determines the network topology of a specified route and decreases the information received or processed to that location.
 - ii. As recited in the applicants' claims, applicants' claimed subject matter relates to a system comprising *a remote access component that provides a user with remote access to the output.*
 - iii. The cited reference differs from the applicants' claims since Ikeda *et al.* is completely silent with regard to the claimed aspect of applicants' invention. Ikeda *et al.* does not comprise a remote access component that provides a user with remote access to the output. Instead, Figure 45 of the cited reference shows a remote node of a network, which cannot provide a user with remote access. The node is not accessible

UNOFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FOR EXAMINER REVIEW ONLY – PLEASE DO NOT ENTER

to a user, but is a part of the network. Therefore, Ikeda *et al.* is silent with regards to the claimed aspect of applicant's invention.

Attached, please find proposed claim amendments with respect to the above-referenced matter. Thanks again for your time and consideration.

Best regards,

-Dave